UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,258	05/11/2006	Wolf-Dieter Wichmann	5000.P0098US	6585
23474 7590 04/15/2009 FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			EXAMINER	
			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
KALAMAZOU), MII 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,258	WICHMANN, WOLF-DIETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trevor E. McGraw	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding and the corresponding to the cor	ccepted or b) objected to by the se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaplinsky (US 5,135,174) in view of Bahr (US 7,066,186).

In regard to Claims 7-11, Chaplinsky teaches a sprayer for spraying agricultural liquids (apparatus system shown in Figure 1) with a carrier liquid tank (1), a carrier liquid pump (5), several spraying nozzles (21, 22, 23) and associated nozzle holders (22", "23" and "24" are held in "P") for connecting the spraying nozzles to a carrier liquid line (9,13), at least one active ingredient tank (2,3,4) and several metering pumps (6,7,8) for

delivering active ingredients connectable to the active ingredient tank (2,3,4) characterized in that with each nozzle (22,23,24) holder ("22", "23" and "24" are held in "P") is associated with at least one metering pump (6,7,8) which is in flow connection with the nozzle holder (22", "23" and "24" are held in "P").

Chaplinsky also teaches where a control unit (32,33,34) is provided to calculate the active ingredient quantity to be metered in control pulses, the metering pumps (5,6,7,8) have a clearly defined delivery for each working stroke and can be driven to corresponding control pulses. The control unit (32,33,34) also has a number of control pulses as a function of a predetermined set value for an active substance concentration and a carrier liquid quantity instantaneously delivered by the carrier liquid pump (see column 3, line 31 thru column 4, line 21) and the metering pumps 6,7,8) can be driven in hydraulic pulses (pulses of content from tanks "2", "3" and "4").

Chaplinsky further teaches where the nozzle holders and the metering pumps are connected in series to the active ingredient line and are arranges in several partial widths and the partial widths are associated with active ingredient supply line and the sprayer has a mixing chamber and at least one metering pump.

Chaplinsky substantially teaches the present invention with the exception of the active ingredient supply line being provided with a compressed air connection so that during return operation active ingredient can be forced back into the active ingredient tank.

However, Bahr teaches that it is known to have an active supply line being provided with a compressed air connection so that during a return operation, an active

ingredient or substance (liquid paint in the case of Knight) can be forced back into the active ingredient tank with the aid of compressed air. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to provide the active ingredient line of the spraying system taught by Chaplinsky with the compressed air line (recirculation line) of Bahr in order to provide a manner in which fluid can be conserved and accurate spraying can occur.

The combination of Chaplinsky in view of Bahr would permit the compressed air connection to be provided downstream of the final metering pump in the active ingredient supply direction and the partial width of the active ingredient supply line with one compressed air connection (limitations of claims 8 and 9).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are tentatively allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Rejection under 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments filed 03/16/2009 have been fully considered but are moot in view of a new grounds of rejection to Chaplinsky in view of Knight.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gill (US 4,925,096).

Application/Control Number: 10/579,258 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/T. E. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Dinh Q Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752